

The Moduli Space Metric for Well-separated BPS Monopoles

G.W. GIBBONS & N.S. MANTON

Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics
University of Cambridge
Silver Street, Cambridge CB3 9EW, U.K.

February 1, 2008

Abstract

The Lagrangian for the motion of n well-separated BPS monopoles is calculated, by treating the monopoles as point particles with magnetic, electric and scalar charges. It can be reinterpreted as the Lagrangian for geodesic motion on the asymptotic region of the n -monopole moduli space, thereby determining the asymptotic metric on the moduli space. The metric is hyperkähler, and is an explicit example of a type of metric considered previously.

Keywords: BPS Monopoles, Moduli Space, Hyperkähler Metric

The moduli space for n Bogomolny-Prasad-Sommerfield (BPS) monopoles, M_n , is $4n$ -dimensional, and has a natural hyperkähler metric [1]. It has the form $M_n = \mathbb{R}^3 \times (S^1 \times \tilde{M}_n^0)/\mathbb{Z}_n$, where $\tilde{M}_n^0$ is simply connected and is the moduli space of “strongly centred” monopoles [2]. The n -fold cover of M_n , $\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^1 \times \tilde{M}_n^0$, is metrically a direct product, with $\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^1$ being flat. The geodesics on M_n accurately model low energy monopole dynamics [3,4].

It is generally understood that when n monopoles are well-separated in space ($\mathbb{R}^3$), the $4n$ coordinates on M_n can be thought of as positions and phases of n indistinguishable particles. Bielawski has shown that to a first approximation, and working locally, the metric is flat in these coordinates, and he has identified the coordinates with the parameters occurring in the rational maps which are associated with monopoles [5]. However, the detailed topology of this outer region of moduli space has not been clearly elucidated, neither is the metric known accurately. It is known that if $n > 1$, it is not just the product of a configuration space of n point particles by an n -torus. In this paper we shall calculate the metric on this outer region of moduli space, and make some remarks on the topology.

In the simplest case, $n = 1$, the moduli space $M_1 = \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^1$ and the metric is flat. The geodesic motion is at constant velocity in $\mathbb{R}^3$, and constant angular velocity $\dot{\theta}$ on the S^1 -factor. Physically this describes a monopole with an electric charge (a dyon) in uniform motion; its magnetic charge g is fixed and its electric charge is proportional to $\dot{\theta}$. The geodesic motion on M_n , according to Bielawski’s metric, describes the independent uniform motion of n dyons in $\mathbb{R}^3$, each with magnetic charge g and a constant electric charge.

Now, the true motion of monopoles, even if they are well-separated, is more interesting. The 2-monopole moduli space M_2 is known in detail, and its metric has been determined by Atiyah and Hitchin [6]. The asymptotic metric on $\tilde{M}_2^0$, when the two monopoles are well-separated, is obtained by neglecting all terms in the Atiyah-Hitchin metric which are exponentially small, and it has a simple algebraic form. It is the self-dual euclidean Taub-NUT metric [7] with a negative mass parameter. Geodesics on Taub-NUT space describe two monopoles or two dyons interacting via Coulomb-like forces.

The Taub-NUT metric has a $U(1)$ symmetry not possessed by the Atiyah-Hitchin metric. This implies that well-separated dyons have individually conserved electric charges. In contrast, the general geodesic motion on M_2 allows for electric charge exchange (as well as momentum exchange) in a close encounter of two monopoles or dyons.

Shortly after Atiyah and Hitchin obtained their metric, one of the present authors showed that its asymptotic form can be obtained from a physical calculation of the dynamics of two well-separated dyons [8]. It suffices to consider the dyons as point particles, each with a magnetic charge, electric charge and scalar charge. The equations of motion for the two dyons, assuming that their speeds are modest and that their electric charges are much less than their magnetic charges, are found to be equivalent to the equations for geodesic motion on Taub-NUT space, together with a centre of mass motion. The scalar charge can be understood from the exact solution of the field equations for a single dyon. For a magnetic charge g and electric charge q , the Higgs field far from the dyon centre has magnitude

$$v - \frac{(g^2 + q^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{4\pi r} + O(e^{-8\pi vr/g}), \quad (1)$$

where v is the vacuum expectation value of the Higgs field. This may be interpreted as saying that the dyon has scalar charge $(g^2 + q^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

In this paper we shall repeat this calculation, but for n dyons. Our strategy is to find the Lagrangian describing the dyons' motion in $\mathbb{R}^3$, with the (constant) electric charges as parameters, and then to reinterpret the n electric charges as arising from motion on n circles associated with the n monopoles. In this way, the Lagrangian is shown to be equivalent to the Lagrangian for geodesic motion on a particular n -torus bundle over the configuration space of n monopole positions, with respect to a metric whose explicit form we give.

The metric we obtain has some beautiful features, and its explicit form is quite simple. It admits an isometric n -torus action, as well as the action of the Euclidean group on $\mathbb{R}^3$. The equations of motion for the dyons, to which it gives rise, are Galilean invariant, which is not necessarily the case for a general moduli space Lagrangian. Finally it is hyperkähler. Hyperkähler metrics on $4n$ -dimensional spaces have been studied previously. A number of authors have presented formulae for such metrics involving matrices subject to some differential constraints. Our metric is in the class previously considered, and one may easily show that it satisfies the required constraints.

Consider n dyons, all with the same magnetic charge g . Let the i th dyon have electric charge q_i and scalar charge $(g^2 + q_i^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, which we shall later approximate by $g + \frac{q_i^2}{2g}$; its rest mass is $m_i = v(g^2 + q_i^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Suppose the dyons have positions $\mathbf{x}_i$ and velocities $\mathbf{v}_i$. Denote their separations $\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_i$ by $\mathbf{r}_{ji}$, and set $r_{ji} = |\mathbf{r}_{ji}|$. The Lagrangian for the

motion of the n th dyon in the background of dyons $1, \dots, n-1$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
L_n = & \left(-m_n + (g^2 + q_n^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi \right) (1 - \mathbf{v}_n^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& + q_n \mathbf{v}_n \cdot \mathbf{A} - q_n A_0 \\
& + g \mathbf{v}_n \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{A}} - g \tilde{A}_0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Here ϕ is the scalar field due to dyons $1, \dots, n-1$; its effect is to modify the rest mass of dyon n , with the coefficient $(g^2 + q_n^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ being the scalar charge of dyon n . $\mathbf{A}, A_0$ are the vector and scalar Maxwell potentials due to all but the n th dyon, and they couple to the electric charge of the n th dyon, q_n . The magnetic charge of the n th dyon, g , couples to the dual Maxwell vector and scalar potentials $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}, \tilde{A}_0$ produced by dyons $1, \dots, n-1$. These potentials are defined so that

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla \times \mathbf{A} &= \mathbf{B} \\
-\nabla A_0 - \dot{\mathbf{A}} &= \mathbf{E} \\
\nabla \times \tilde{\mathbf{A}} &= -\mathbf{E} \\
-\nabla \tilde{A}_0 - \dot{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}} &= \mathbf{B},
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are the electric and magnetic fields at the n th dyon, due to the other dyons.

The fields $\phi, \mathbf{A}, A_0, \tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ and $\tilde{A}_0$ are linear combinations of the fields produced by the $n-1$ contributing dyons. The contribution from dyon 1 is given in terms of the Liénard-Wiechert potentials at $\mathbf{x}_n$ due to the moving particle at $\mathbf{x}_1$. The scalar field due to dyon 1 at $\mathbf{x}_n$ is

$$\phi = \frac{(g^2 + q_1^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{4\pi s_{n1}} (1 - \mathbf{v}_1^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{4}$$

Eqn. (4) is the Lorentz scalar version of a Liénard-Wiechert potential, where $s_{n1} = (r_{n1}^2 - (\mathbf{r}_{n1} \times \mathbf{v}_1)^2 + o(\mathbf{v}_1^2))^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Now the leading terms in the Lagrangian will turn out to be of order $\mathbf{v}^2/r$, and this is the order in $\mathbf{v}$ to which we wish to work, so it is sufficient to approximate s_{n1} by r_{n1} . Expanding out the square roots, and keeping terms quadratic in velocities and electric charges, we find

$$\phi = \frac{g}{4\pi r_{n1}} \left(1 + \frac{q_1^2}{2g} - \frac{\mathbf{v}_1^2}{2} \right). \tag{5}$$

To write down the Maxwell and dual Maxwell potentials, we introduce a Dirac vector potential $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{y})$. This is defined to satisfy

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{w} = -\frac{\mathbf{y}}{y^3}, \tag{6}$$

and $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{w}(-\mathbf{y})$, but we do not need to specify a particular gauge. We denote by $\mathbf{w}_{ji}$ the potential $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_i) = \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{r}_{ji})$; it has the symmetry property $\mathbf{w}_{ji} = \mathbf{w}_{ij}$ and satisfies $\nabla_j \times \mathbf{w}_{ji} = \nabla_j(1/r_{ji})$. The potentials $\mathbf{A}, A_0, \tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ and $\tilde{A}_0$ produced by dyon 1, and evaluated at $\mathbf{x}_n$ are

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{q_1}{4\pi r_{n1}} \mathbf{v}_1 - \frac{g}{4\pi} \mathbf{w}_{n1} \quad (7)$$

$$A_0 = \frac{q_1}{4\pi r_{n1}} - \frac{g}{4\pi} \mathbf{w}_{n1} \cdot \mathbf{v}_1 \quad (8)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \frac{g}{4\pi r_{n1}} \mathbf{v}_1 + \frac{q_1}{4\pi} \mathbf{w}_{n1} \quad (9)$$

$$\tilde{A}_0 = \frac{g}{4\pi r_{n1}} + \frac{q_1}{4\pi} \mathbf{w}_{n1} \cdot \mathbf{v}_1. \quad (10)$$

These are boosted versions of the familiar Coulomb and Dirac potentials of a dyon at rest, and we have again approximated s_{n1} by r_{n1} . Substituting (5) and (7) – (10) in (2), and keeping terms of order $\mathbf{v}^2$, $q\mathbf{v}$ and q^2 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L_n = & -m_n + \frac{1}{2} m_n \mathbf{v}_n^2 - \frac{g^2}{8\pi r_{n1}} (\mathbf{v}_n - \mathbf{v}_1)^2 \\ & - \frac{g}{4\pi} (q_n - q_1) (\mathbf{v}_n - \mathbf{v}_1) \cdot \mathbf{w}_{n1} + \frac{1}{8\pi r_{n1}} (q_n - q_1)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Eqn. (11) includes the contribution from dyon 1 to the Lagrangian for dyon n . Adding the contributions of all dyons $1, \dots, n-1$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} L_n = & \frac{1}{2} m \mathbf{v}_n^2 - \frac{g^2}{8\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{(\mathbf{v}_n - \mathbf{v}_i)^2}{r_{ni}} - \frac{g}{4\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (q_n - q_i) (\mathbf{v}_n - \mathbf{v}_i) \cdot \mathbf{w}_{ni} \\ & + \frac{1}{8\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{(q_n - q_i)^2}{r_{ni}}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

(We have dropped the constant $-m_n$, which has no effect on the equation of motion, and in the kinetic term replaced m_n by $m = vg$, which is accurate enough to the order we are working.) It can be seen that the interaction terms are quite symmetric between dyons i and n . It follows, that if we symmetrize (12) between all the dyons, we will obtain a Lagrangian whose Euler-Lagrange equations are the equations of motion for all the dyons. The symmetrized Lagrangian is

$$\begin{aligned} L = & \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} m \mathbf{v}_i^2 - \frac{g^2}{8\pi} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{(\mathbf{v}_j - \mathbf{v}_i)^2}{r_{ji}} - \frac{g}{4\pi} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (q_j - q_i) (\mathbf{v}_j - \mathbf{v}_i) \cdot \mathbf{w}_{ji} \\ & + \frac{1}{8\pi} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{(q_j - q_i)^2}{r_{ji}}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

(Here we have used the symmetry property $\mathbf{w}_{ji} = \mathbf{w}_{ij}$.) The kinetic term may be rewritten as

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} m \mathbf{v}_i^2 = \frac{1}{2n} m (\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{v}_n)^2 + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{1}{2n} m (\mathbf{v}_j - \mathbf{v}_i)^2, \quad (14)$$

which shows that the sum of the dyon velocities completely decouples from the relative velocities. It follows that $\frac{1}{n}(\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{v}_n)$ is a conserved quantity; it can be identified as the centre of mass velocity. Furthermore, the relative motion is unaffected by a Galilean transformation $\mathbf{v}_i \rightarrow \mathbf{v}_i + \mathbf{V}$, for any fixed $\mathbf{V}$. There is also invariance under the Euclidean group of translations and rotations.

The equation of motion for dyon j is

$$\begin{aligned} m \dot{\mathbf{v}}_j - \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\dot{\mathbf{v}}_j - \dot{\mathbf{v}}_i}{r_{ji}} + \frac{g^2}{8\pi} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{2(\mathbf{v}_j - \mathbf{v}_i) \mathbf{r}_{ji} \cdot (\mathbf{v}_j - \mathbf{v}_i) - (\mathbf{v}_j - \mathbf{v}_i)^2 \mathbf{r}_{ji}}{r_{ji}^3} \\ - \frac{g}{4\pi} \sum_{i \neq j} (q_j - q_i) (\mathbf{v}_j - \mathbf{v}_i) \times \frac{\mathbf{r}_{ji}}{r_{ji}^3} + \frac{1}{8\pi} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{(q_j - q_i)^2}{r_{ji}^3} \mathbf{r}_{ji} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The last term in (15), an electric Coulomb force, depends on the square of the difference of electric charges rather than on their products. This is one of the consequences of the scalar interaction. Note that there is a static solution of the equations of motion ($\mathbf{v}_i = 0 : 1 \leq i \leq n$) with all electric charges equal. This is to be expected, as there are solutions of the Bogomolny equations representing n monopoles at rest, and these can be transformed in a simple way (discovered by Julia and Zee [9]) into a stationary solution representing n dyons each with the same electric charge.

The Lagrangian (13) is defined on the $3n$ -dimensional configuration space $\tilde{C}_n(\mathbb{R}^3) = (\mathbb{R}^3)^n - \Delta$ with coordinates $\{\mathbf{x}_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, where Δ represents the subspace of $(\mathbb{R}^3)^n$ where two or more monopole positions coincide. The electric charges q_i are constant parameters. The Lagrangian is not purely kinetic, because of the terms linear in velocity (the electric-magnetic couplings), and because of the Coulomb terms. But if we could interpret the electric charges as velocities, as in Kaluza-Klein theory, then the whole Lagrangian would be quadratic in velocities. We shall now show that this is indeed possible.

Consider a $4n$ -dimensional manifold E_n , which is a T^n (n -torus) bundle over $\tilde{C}_n(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with local coordinates $\{\mathbf{x}_i, \theta_i\}$. θ_i is a phase angle associated with the i th monopole. Endow this bundle E_n with a T^n -invariant metric, so that the purely kinetic Lagrangian

for motion on E_n is

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}g_{ij}\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_j + \frac{1}{2}h_{ij}(\dot{\theta}_i + \mathbf{W}_{ik} \cdot \mathbf{v}_k)(\dot{\theta}_j + \mathbf{W}_{jl} \cdot \mathbf{v}_l), \quad (16)$$

where g_{ij} and h_{ij} are symmetric matrices. (From now on we shall be using the summation convention unless we say to the contrary.) Invariance under the torus action requires that the matrices g_{ij} , h_{ij} and $\mathbf{W}_{ij}$ depend only on the $3n$ coordinates $\{\mathbf{x}_i\}$. The Euler-Lagrange equations for $\mathcal{L}$ admit the n constants of motion

$$q_i = \kappa h_{ij}(\dot{\theta}_j + \mathbf{W}_{jl} \cdot \mathbf{v}_l). \quad (17)$$

For a suitable value of the constant κ , q_i may be identified with the electric charge of monopole i .

It would not be correct to substitute for these constants of motion in the second term of $\mathcal{L}$, and then calculate the remaining equations of motion. However, it is correct to reduce the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ to

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2}g_{ij}\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_j + \frac{1}{\kappa}q_i\mathbf{W}_{ij} \cdot \mathbf{v}_j - \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}h^{ij}q_iq_j \quad (18)$$

where h^{ij} is the inverse of h_{ij} . The equations of motion given by $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}$ are the same. It follows that we can determine g_{ij} , h_{ij} and $\mathbf{W}_{ij}$ by requiring that $\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}$ and the Lagrangian L , eqn. (13), give the same equations of motion. This almost means the same thing as identifying $\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}$ and L . The matrix g_{ij} must be chosen to have components

$$g_{jj} = m - \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{r_{ij}} \quad (\text{no sum over } j) \quad (19)$$

$$g_{ij} = \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \frac{1}{r_{ij}} \quad (i \neq j), \quad (20)$$

and $\mathbf{W}_{ij}$ must have components

$$\mathbf{W}_{jj} = -\frac{g\kappa}{4\pi} \sum_{i \neq j} \mathbf{w}_{ij} \quad (\text{no sum over } j) \quad (21)$$

$$\mathbf{W}_{ij} = \frac{g\kappa}{4\pi} \mathbf{w}_{ij} \quad (i \neq j). \quad (22)$$

The symmetry properties of the Dirac potentials imply that $\mathbf{W}_{ij}$ is a symmetric matrix. Simply identifying $\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}$ and L would give a matrix h^{ij} with no inverse. But we may

add any constant matrix to h^{ij} without changing the equations of motion coming from $\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}$. Taking advantage of this we see that a satisfactory choice is $h^{ij} = \frac{\kappa^2}{g^2} g_{ij}$.

The value of κ can now be fixed. The expressions (21) and (22) for $\mathbf{W}_{ij}$ could lead to singularities in the Lagrangian (16), because the Dirac potentials have the usual Dirac string singularities. There are two Dirac strings in the potential $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{y})$, because of the symmetry of the potential, and each carries “flux” 2π . These strings can be gauged away if $\kappa = 4\pi/g$, and if the angles θ_i have the usual range $[0, 2\pi]$.

To obtain the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ in its simplest mathematical form, it is convenient to choose units so that $g = 4\pi, m = 4\pi$ (these are in any case true for BPS monopoles in the natural units [1]) and to remove an overall factor of 4π . $\mathcal{L}$ is the purely kinetic Lagrangian for motion on E_n with metric

$$ds^2 = g_{ij} d\mathbf{x}_i \cdot d\mathbf{x}_j + g_{ij}^{-1} (d\theta_i + \mathbf{W}_{ik} \cdot d\mathbf{x}_k) (d\theta_j + \mathbf{W}_{jl} \cdot d\mathbf{x}_l) \quad (23)$$

where, now,

$$g_{jj} = 1 - \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{r_{ij}} \quad (\text{no sum over } j) \quad (24)$$

$$g_{ij} = \frac{1}{r_{ij}} \quad (i \neq j) \quad (25)$$

$$\mathbf{W}_{jj} = - \sum_{i \neq j} \mathbf{w}_{ij} \quad (\text{no sum over } j) \quad (26)$$

$$\mathbf{W}_{ij} = \mathbf{w}_{ij} \quad (i \neq j). \quad (27)$$

Notice that if all terms which vary inversely with monopole separations are neglected, then the metric (23) reduces to Bielawski’s flat metric.

It can be easily verified that the matrices g_{ij} and $\mathbf{W}_{ij}$ satisfy

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i^a} W_{jk}^b - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j^b} W_{ik}^a = \epsilon^{abc} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i^c} g_{jk}, \quad (28)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i^a} g_{jk} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j^a} g_{ik}, \quad (29)$$

where x_i^a and W_{ij}^a denote the components of $\mathbf{x}_i$ and $\mathbf{W}_{ij}$. These conditions, (28) & (29), were shown by Pedersen and Poon [10], and Papadopoulos and Townsend [11], following earlier work by Hitchin et al. [12] to be the necessary conditions for the metric (23) to be hyperkähler. These authors gave no explicit solution. Our explicit solution (24) – (27) is surprisingly rather simple and symmetric. In fact, eqns. (28) & (29) may

be solved locally by a rather more general ansatz than (24) – (27). It suffices to replace $1/r_{ij}$ by a function $H_{ij}(|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j|)$ which is harmonic in both arguments. The Dirac potential $\mathbf{w}_{ij}$ is then chosen to satisfy $\nabla_i \times \mathbf{w}_{ij} = \nabla_i H_{ij}$ (no sum over i).

To obtain the asymptotic region of the n -monopole moduli space M_n , one must quotient E_n by the permutation group S_n , which acts by permuting the positions and phases of the monopoles. This is because the monopoles are unordered, or indistinguishable. One also requires $r_{ij} \gg 1$, for all $i \neq j$. Thus in the case of two monopoles, where the metric calculated using the method above is the Taub-NUT metric times a flat factor, one must identify under the interchange of $(\mathbf{x}_1, \theta_1)$ and $(\mathbf{x}_2, \theta_2)$, and have $r_{12} \gg 1$, in order to reproduce the asymptotic form of the moduli space.

The three Kähler forms on E_n are

$$\omega^a = (d\theta_i + \mathbf{W}_{ik} \cdot d\mathbf{x}_k) \wedge dx_i^a - \frac{1}{2} g_{ij} \epsilon^{abc} dx_i^b \wedge dx_j^c, \quad a = 1, 2, 3. \quad (30)$$

It follows that the torus action is triholomorphic

$$\mathcal{L}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i}} \omega^a = 0 \quad (31)$$

and thus that the generators of the torus action, i.e. the Killing fields $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i}$, are Hamiltonian vector fields with respect to all three symplectic forms ω^a . The three moment maps associated with $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i}$ are the three coordinate functions x_i^a . By contrast the rotation group $SO(3)$ does not act triholomorphically; the forms ω^a transform as a triplet under its action.

Using the moment maps x_i^a (all of which Poisson commute with respect to a fixed symplectic structure) one may obtain lower-dimensional hyperkähler metrics by taking the hyperkähler quotient. This amounts to setting k of the $\mathbf{x}_i$'s to constants and then projecting the metric orthogonally to the k vector fields $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_i}$. In this way one obtains a new $4(n - k)$ -dimensional hyperkähler metric. One may use this freedom to freeze all but one of the coordinates $\mathbf{x}_i$. The result is a four-dimensional multi-centre metric of the form discussed in [13]. If one wishes, one may fix not the $\mathbf{x}_i$'s but linear combinations of them. A case of particular interest is when one reduces using the position of the centre of mass

$$\mathbf{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i. \quad (32)$$

This amounts to taking out the centre of mass motion. In fact, because of the special form of the metric (i.e. eqns. (24) – (27)), it is easy to see directly that it splits as a

metric product of the centre of mass manifold, with coordinates $\mathbf{X}$ and $\theta = \sum_i \theta_i$, and a moduli space of centred monopoles.

Let us now turn to the topology of E_n . The space $\tilde{C}_n(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is the space of n distinct ordered points in $\mathbb{R}^3$. It is simply connected and its second homology group $H_2(\tilde{C}_n(\mathbb{R}^3))$ is $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$ -dimensional and torsion free. A homology basis is provided by the $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$ 2-spheres S_{ij}^2 : $|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j| = r_{ij} = \text{constant}$, $\mathbf{x}_k = \text{constant}$, $j \neq k \neq i$. To specify a T^n bundle over $\tilde{C}_n(\mathbb{R}^3)$ it suffices to specify its behaviour over these 2-spheres. A T^n -invariant metric gives rise to a connection on the bundle E_n if we define the horizontal subspaces of the tangent space of E_n to be orthogonal to the fibre directions with respect to that metric. In our case this means that the connection one-form associated to the i th generator of T^n is given by $d\theta_i + \mathbf{W}_{ik} \cdot d\mathbf{x}_k$. Evidently, restricted to S_{ij}^2 , this reduces to the standard Dirac monopole connection over S^2 with unit magnetic charge. This specifies the T^n bundle on $\tilde{C}_n(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

In conclusion we have shown that the equations of motion for n well-separated BPS monopoles or dyons can be obtained from the purely kinetic Lagrangian for motion on a $4n$ -dimensional manifold E_n/S_n , where E_n is an n -torus bundle whose metric (23) is hyperkähler, and S_n is the permutation group. The particle motion in $\mathbb{R}^3$ corresponds to geodesic motion on E_n/S_n . The space E_n/S_n is fibred over $C_n(\mathbb{R}^3)$, the configuration space of n indistinguishable particles in $\mathbb{R}^3$. The particles must be at distinct locations, as there are singularities if they coincide. E_n/S_n is therefore incomplete. Moreover if the particles are sufficiently close our metric is no longer positive definite. This happens because the “mass” parameters, i.e. the coefficients of the second term in (24), are negative.

On the other hand it is known that the low energy dynamics of n BPS monopoles or dyons at arbitrary separation is accurately modelled by geodesic motion on the moduli space M_n of static solutions to the Bogomolny equations, and M_n is complete and thus has no singularities. Thus E_n/S_n only gives the asymptotic form of M_n , for well-separated monopoles. In the case $n = 2$, it is known that E_n/S_n and M_n differ by an exponentially small amount as the separation gets large. It is natural therefore to conjecture that E_n/S_n and M_n are exponentially close, for any n , if all pairs of monopoles are well-separated. This would imply that in the scattering of dyons, there would only be exponentially small electric charge exchange, provided the dyons remained well-separated, although momentum exchange falls off with a power of the separation.

Mathematically one may consider a version of the metric on E_n for which the mass

parameters are positive. This metric is everywhere positive definite. For suitably chosen values of the mass parameters it is also complete, as the subspace where pairs of points in $\mathbb{R}^3$ coincide corresponds to the fixed point set of one of the generators of the torus action. The simplest case is $n = 2$ which gives the usual Taub-NUT metric with positive mass. We believe that the global structure of these positive definite metrics would repay further study in view of their application as target spaces for supersymmetric sigma-models.

We have made no progress with solving the equations of motion for n well-separated dyons. In the $n = 2$ case, we found enough constants of motion to determine the asymptotic 2-dyon scattering and bound orbits explicitly [14]. It would be very interesting if the n -body problem was tractable. We have however found a simple expression for the total angular momentum which includes the contribution from the interaction of electric and magnetic charges, and which generalizes that found in [14]. It is

$$\mathbf{J} = 4\pi \sum_{i,j} g_{ij} \mathbf{x}_i \times \mathbf{v}_j - \sum_{i < j} (q_i - q_j) \frac{\mathbf{r}_{ij}}{r_{ij}}, \quad (33)$$

or equivalently,

$$\mathbf{J} = 4\pi \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \times \mathbf{v}_i - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{4\pi(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j) \times (\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j) + (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j)(q_i - q_j)}{r_{ij}}. \quad (34)$$

It is interesting to study the quantized dynamics of monopoles, and especially the dynamics of monopoles in super-Yang-Mills theory. Sen has recently argued that there are certain quantum bound states of n monopoles with zero energy in such a theory [15]. To verify Sen's conjectures one first has to understand the cohomology of the n -monopole moduli space M_n , which depends largely on the properties of M_n when all monopoles are close together. However to check in detail whether the cohomology classes are directly related to normalizable quantum states requires a careful analysis of the metric on M_n for well-separated monopoles, and here our results may be useful. In the simplest case of two monopoles, the bound state wavefunction decays exponentially with separation and it may be that for n monopoles, the wavefunction similarly decays if any one monopole becomes separated from the rest.

Finally we remark that if we set the electric charges to zero in (13) we obtain a metric on $(\mathbb{R}^3)^n$ which is up to rescaling almost identical to that obtained by Shiraishi [16] for n stringy black holes. The difference is that in Shiraishi's case the minus sign between the first two terms in (13) is replaced by a plus sign. This would seem to imply that

by adjoining extra angles to the moduli space of n stringy black holes one may obtain a hyperkähler moduli space. This may lead to a resolution of the puzzle discussed in [17].

References

- [1] M.F. Atiyah and N.J. Hitchin, The geometry and dynamics of magnetic monopoles (Princeton University Press, 1988).
- [2] N.J. Hitchin, N.S. Manton and M.K. Murray, Symmetric Monopoles (DAMTP preprint 95-17, 1995).
- [3] N.S. Manton, Phys. Lett. B 110 (1982) 54.
- [4] D. Stuart, Commun. Math. Phys. 166 (1994) 149.
- [5] R. Bielawski, Monopoles, particles and rational functions (McMaster University preprint, 1994).
- [6] M.F. Atiyah and N.J. Hitchin, Phys. Lett. A 107 (1985) 21; Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A 315 (1985) 459.
- [7] S.W. Hawking, Phys. Lett. A 60 (1977) 81.
- [8] N.S. Manton, Phys. Lett. B 154 (1985) 397; (E) B 157 (1985) 475.
- [9] B. Julia and A. Zee, Phys. Rev. D 11 (1975) 2727.
- [10] H. Pedersen and Y.S. Poon, Commun. Math. Phys. 117 (1988) 569.
- [11] G. Papadopoulos and P.K. Townsend, Nucl. Phys. B 444 (1995) 245.
- [12] N.J. Hitchin, A. Karlhede, U. Lindström and M. Roček, Commun. Math. Phys. 108 (1987) 537.
- [13] G.W. Gibbons and S.W. Hawking, Phys. Lett. B 78 (1978) 430.
- [14] G.W. Gibbons and N.S. Manton, Nucl. Phys. B 274 (1986) 183.
- [15] A. Sen, Phys. Lett. B 329 (1994) 217.
- [16] K. Shiraishi, Nucl. Phys. B 402 (1993) 399.
- [17] G.W. Gibbons and R. Kallosh, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 2839.